

WE insist that the workers have the right to quit their employment, either singly or, in unison, whenever the conditions of employment become irksome, or a change or improvement is desired; that when any representative of the workers engaged is directed by them to perform any duty for the furtherance of the purpose for which the cessation of work [strike] was inaugurated, that he shall have the right to perform that duty without judicial interference by injunction.—Declaration of the American Federation of Labor convention at Kansas City, Mo., December 12-20, 1896.

A. F. of L.'s Anti-Injunction Declarations at Two Conventions

We, therefore recommend that any injunction dealing with the relationship of employer and employee . . . be wholly and absolutely treated as usurpation and disregarded, let the consequences be what they may . . . Kings could be and were disobeyed, and sometimes deposed. In cases of this kind judges must be disobeyed and should be impeached.—Declaration of the 1916 convention of the American Federation of Labor, November 13-25 at Baltimore, Md.

The DAILY WORKER Raises the Standard—Workers' and Farmers' R. S. SHAFFER GEN. P. O. BOX 150 NEW YORK N.Y. R-11-27

THE DAILY WORKER

Entered at Second-class matter September 21, 1925, at the Post Office at Chicago, Illinois, under the Act of March 3, 1923.

Rates: In Chicago, by mail, \$3.00 per year. Outside Chicago, by mail, \$3.00 per year.

SUNDAY, JULY 11, 1926

Published Daily except Sunday by THE DAILY WORKER PUBLISHING CO., 1111 W. Washington Blvd., Chicago, Ill.

Price 5 Cents

'FIGHT INJUNCTIONS,' SAY LABOR SPOKESMEN

Interborough Company Union Shattered By Final Blow As Power House Men Join Strike

(Pictures on Inside Pages)

By H. M. WICKS.
(Special to The Daily Worker)

NEW YORK CITY, July 9.—Thomas Walsh, head of Local 1 of the old "Hedley-Connelly Brotherhood," which is the company union, at last night's meeting announced the motive power workers would go out. Other power house and electrical workers' locals are now being canvassed and will join the strike.

Besides the power house men, the board operators, switchboard repairmen and helpers, electro mechanics, meter men, light men and generator tenders and cleaners will go out, according to Walsh.

The heads of the defunct company union have prohibited further meetings of any of its locals for fear of their resolving into joining the real union and the strike movement. The company is bewildered and desperate.

The first serious accident occurred in the early hours of the morning when a Lexington avenue express, operated by a scab motorman (who, of course, had passed what the company calls an "examination"), jumped the tracks, crashed thru a concrete wall, ripped up 10 feet of heavily charged third rail, and set a number of cars on fire. The light travel at that hour of night prevented serious loss of life. Passengers were guided to safety by firemen and police. Three capitalist newspaper men who sought to get details of the accident were assaulted by company thugs.

Strike Cripples Principal Subway.

By DANIEL TOWER,
Federated Press Correspondent.

NEW YORK—Service on the Interborough Rapid Transit subway here has been badly crippled by the strike of motormen and switchmen. Company officials claim that service was 50% of normal on the afternoon of the first day, but strike leaders declared that only 10% of the usual number of trains were running. Observation of service in various stations late that day showed long waits between trains, sometimes 20 or 30 minutes. The I. R. T. is the principal one of three subways here.

Scabs Run Trains.

Strikebreakers were sent in ahead of the walkout, some recruited locally and others coming from Chicago, Cleveland, Pittsburgh and points between. It is said that those from Chicago were supplied by the Washington Detective Agency. Slowly and awkwardly, with many sudden stops and starts, trains are being moved, with a uniformed policeman standing alongside the motorman's cab in each head car. Most of the local scabs are former company employees who were discharged for drunkenness, carelessness and causing collisions, according to Edward P. Lavin, one of the strike leaders. Alexander Farlinger, age 23, of Montreal, who took out the first train during the strike, was questioned by reporters about his experience. "Sure," he said. "I used to run a scenic railway train in Buffalo."

It is notable that the I. R. T. has not attempted to run trains to Brooklyn. Frank Hedley, company president explained that he thought it inadvisable to have inexperienced men operate trains in the tunnels under the East River. But there is reason to believe that there is deeper reason for this restraint than a general precaution. Brooklyn people have keen and tragic memories of the Malbone tunnel wreck eight years ago.

Wreak Before.

On Nov. 1, 1918, the motormen on the Brooklyn Rapid Transit, an elevated line, struck for the same wage the I. R. T. drivers were getting, that having been denied them, Anthony Lewis, train dispatcher, acted as a strikebreaker. He was taking a five-car wooden train to Coney Island. Near the Malbone tunnel entrance was a sign: "Slow down to six miles an hour." Lewis drove his train around this curve at 40 miles an hour. It jumped the track. Eighty-nine persons were killed and 300 injured. Next day the B. R. T. granted the strikers' demands.

(Continued on page 2)

FIRST BLOOD OF I. L. G. W. FLOWS IN N.Y.

But Pickets Close Down Scab Shops

NEW YORK CITY, July 9.—The first serious violence in the great garment strike came yesterday. Clott Farmer, a business agent of the Heller-Breslau gang's Local No. 17 of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union, was operating a scab shop under protection of leading gangsters, at 22 West 26th street, when Samuel Lendman, an I. L. G. W. picket, was approached by three gangsters, one of whom shot him in the abdomen.

Lendman was rushed to Bellevue hospital, and while the wounds are serious, recovery is expected. Valborg Guidice, another picket was stabbed by the gangsters and is under treatment.

Arrest Gangsters.

The "industrial squad" were forced to arrest the identified gangsters known as the "Little Augie" gang "Little Augie" and his companion, Morris Gillman, of 88 and 92 Essex street, respectively. Henry Stoltz and Joseph Buchhalter, other gangsters, were arrested in the loft of Gold and Clott after a struggle.

Striking cloakmakers cleared out the foremen and designers from eighteen shops, also cleaning out a big scab nest operated in Brooklyn under the disguise of "Cohen and Turkowitz" at 236 Fulton street, but actually run by Cohen and Weinberger whose Manhattan shop is on strike.

The shop chairman held an enthusiastic meeting yesterday, adopting constructive plans for strike activity.

Bosses for Law and Order.

Henry H. Flinder, president of the Manufacturers' Association, after a meeting of the association issued the following "warning":

"The union has no right to interfere with regular employees which remain in our shops. We serve public notice on Louis Hyman and on all other union officials that we intend to protect our property and our foremen and designers at any cost. This is the United States and not Russia, and we happen to be in a city where those at the head of the government believe in maintenance of law and order. We are not going to lose any time in presenting our situation to the police department. We are not going to be a bit hesitant, either, about laying all information of wrong doing or law-breaking before the district attorney."

Mr. Flinder's fulminations are ignored by the 40,000 strikers. Their fighting spirit is developing excellently and they are proving their determination to drive out the terrorism of the gangsters and continue the struggle to victory.

Your neighbor will appreciate the favor—give him this copy of the DAILY WORKER.

Chicago Injunction Victims Behind Bars



Here are a group of members of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union serving time in Cook County jail for violation of the injunction issued by "Czar" Denis Sullivan during a garment strike two years ago.

Defeat of Injunctions, Major Task of Labor, Say Trade Unionists and Labor Publicists

The following statements are made especially for this anti-injunction issue of The DAILY WORKER.

By BARNETT SOLL, Chairman, Chicago Joint Board, I. L. G. W.
"THE injunction situation in this city is most outrageous."

"Forty-six of our members, most of them women with children, husbands or aged parents dependent on them, were imprisoned."

"These members were jailed in an attempt to break the organization financially and morally. The judge issuing an injunction acts as a strikebreaker and labor must fight injunctions to the last ditch because it means the existence of our unions."

"If the labor movement were to manifest some unity in this respect we would accomplish something towards making anti-picketing edicts an ineffective weapon of the bosses against the unions."

Eugene Victor Debs.

"The outrage perpetrated by a suppliant tool of the master class in sending a large number of our comrades to jail for contempt of court is contemptible."

"When the word came that Judge Sullivan had not only denied our comrades their constitutional rights but had insulted them besides, my blood burned with indignation and resentment."

William J. Hedger, President Chicago Typographical Union No. 16.

"The use of injunctions by the employers in their attempt to smash strikes of their employees for better conditions is becoming more and more frequent. The power placed in injunctions today is of such a character that it threatens the life of the union leading the strike if it is obeyed. To obey these edicts against picketing would mean disruption of the organization involved."

"The 46 members of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union who refused to follow out the command of Judge Sullivan to cease picketing and went to jail did a most valuable service for the labor movement."

Albert F. Coyle, Editor, Locomotive Engineers' Journal.
FOUR hundred years ago the patriots who fought for political liberty in England against the tyranny of the Stuart kings

demanded trial by jury as the one sure bulwark against the arbitrary despotism of the king's judges. During the intervening centuries this right has been one of the staunchest supports of human freedom. Yet in democratic America the worker no longer enjoys the protection of jury trial in case he dares to strike against industrial tyranny for a living wage."

"Today the captains and the kings of industry control the majority of the courts just as effectively as did the monarchs of old England. Their money buys the election of state judges friendly to their cause, and "puts over" the election of presidents who will "stay hatched" and appoint the corporation lawyers they want for federal judges. These judges can haul a striking worker into court, act as legislator, judge, and jury combined, and then execute their own sentences upon the workers who dare to object to this autocratic process."

"There is no authority in law authorizing judges to issue injunctions in labor disputes. It is a usurped power, first applied by 'Injunction Bill' Taft when he tried to chain the Ann Arbor railroad engineers to their locomotive cabs. Now every petty judge in the country thinks he has power to crush strikes by the abuse of judicial power."

"The menace of the injunction consists in this: It is being increasingly used by both federal and state judges in the most despotic manner, and in the event of a really serious strike will readily become an instrument of brutal tyranny, tearing away the civil liberties of the workers, casting them in jail at the will of the courts, tying up their strike funds and making any kind of strike relief illegal, as has already been done by the infamous Daugherty Injunction against the railroad shopmen."

"There is only one way for the workers to fight the injunction menace successfully; they must achieve political power in both state and nation, pass laws prohibiting the use of injunctions in labor disputes, and impeach and remove from office judges who persist in this despotic practice. They must also put in the White House a labor president, as the Mexican workers have done, who will appoint federal judges in sympathy with the aims and aspirations of the organized workers. Meanwhile, every candidate for public office ought to be pledged to oppose this evil, and if elected, held accountable for his pledge by the organized workers of his community."

(Continued on page 2)

History of the I. L. G. W. Fight on Injunctions

Review of Bitter Fight of Garment Workers

By VICTOR A. ZOKARTIS,
Member Chicago Typographical No. 18.
The 1924 strike of the Chicago International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union, for which 46 members of that organization have either served or are serving 10 to 60-day jail sentences in the Cook county jail, was one of the most bitter in that industry.

Union Demands.

The strike followed an intense organization drive that was carried on in the garment district and was an attempt on the part of the workers to establish the 40-hour week, establish an unemployment insurance fund to be paid by the bosses, and retain the 44-hour week wage scale.

The throughout the duration of the strike the rank and file of the union at no time wavered in their determination to organize the dressmakers 100 per cent and to win all of their demands, the officials of the international who conducted the strike did.

Crowe Strikebreaker.

During this strike the entire force at the command of the union-making State's Attorney Robert M. Crowe was used in an attempt to bring the strikers back to work and destroy their union. Judge Denis E. Sullivan and Judge Foel were used by the bosses in an attempt to stop picketing before the struck garment shops.

The rank and file fought to prepare the union for the struggle and demanded the reinstatement of expelled left-wingers. A gesture was made by the Perlstein-Sigman machine towards acceding to the demands of the rank and file.

Despite attempts of the bureaucracy to shove the left-wingers away, the left-wingers participated actively in the strike and aided the union to carry on its struggle.

Strike Ultimatum.

Sunday, February 17, 1924, the committee met and decided to the garment shop bosses until midnight to reopen negotiations they had broken off. A secret was also set for the strike. On February 22, strike bulletins were prepared and the machinery of the organization prepared for a bitter struggle.

Wednesday morning, February 24, the date set for the strike, the garment workers left their shops.

Arrest 13 Pickets.

Thirteen pickets were arrested on the first day of the strike. The next morning police and gunmen hired by the bosses were stationed at the entrances to every shop.

Two thousand cloakmakers joined the girl garment workers in a half-day sympathy strike. The cloakmakers went out so as to protect the girls from assaults by uniformed and plain clothes plug-uglies.

Wednesday morning, February 24, the date set for the strike, the garment workers left their shops.

Arrests were made on "disorderly conduct" and "assault" charges.

Committee of Fifteen.

The assaults of State's Attorney Crowe's men on the girls led the Chicago Federation of Labor at its meeting Sunday, March 2, to create a committee to probe the misuse of public funds by Crowe in his attempt to aid the garment shop bosses. John Fitzpatrick, president of the Chicago Federation of Labor, appointed the "Committee of Fifteen."

March 3, 1924, two groups of bosses applied for an injunction prohibiting picketing of the struck shops. The Graceine Dress Co., Singer and Nudelman, Inc., Goldrich Franklin Co., University Frock, Inc., and Elias Mann were one of the groups that applied

(Continued on page 2)

FURTHER STATEMENTS BY LABOR LEADERS AND PUBLICISTS ON INJUNCTIONS

(Continued from page 1)

Theodore J. Vind, president of the South Chicago Trades and Labor Assembly.

To my mind a judge that will sentence women, some of them with babes in their arms and prospective mothers, to jail because they were fighting to improve their conditions and provide themselves with the necessities of life commits the most brutal act of a judge in our present civilization.

I think that over the door of every court room there should be placed the inscription: "All workers who enter here leave all hope behind."

In most of the struggles in which the injunction is made to play its part are between the employers on the one side, who fight to protect their unprofitable profits, and the workers on the other side forced by circumstances to battle for the necessities of life. In this struggle the courts step in and seek to take out of the hands of the worker the only weapon that he has—the right to fight for his existence.

A good deal is said about "Americanism" and what constitutes it. The worker who fights to protect himself, his family and his class and is forced to stand for conditions for which they accuse the Russian workers of standing for, to my mind, these kind of workers manifest the same kind of spirit attributed to our forefathers who fought tyranny and injustice at all times.

By William Mahoney, Editor St. Paul Labor Advocate.

THE use of the injunction in labor controversies is a striking example of the misapplication of designedly beneficial laws. What was originally intended to prevent irreparable injury is now employed to cause irreparable injury. The present application of judicial injunctions in labor disputes is a radical departure from the original purpose of this mandate.

This practice of perverting laws to other than the purpose intended shows how important it is that every branch of government be placed in the control of sympathetic hands if the workers expect to get protection from distinctly labor laws even. The penalty attached to the violation of the anti-trust law was first and most forcibly visited on a labor organization, the United Hatters—when the plain purpose of the law was directed at the evil practices of trusts. An unsympathetic court used the law against organized labor.

In view of this experience, the workers have come to look upon the courts and especially the federal courts as foregone enemies, and have tried to keep away from them. During the past twenty-five years persistent and repeated efforts have been made to safeguard labor's right by legislative inhibitions of the court's use of the injunction, and compel the differentiation between human labor and property; but somehow the courts find a way to misconstrue the intent of the legislature, and go right ahead and apply laws adversely to the labor organizations.

Today the judicial injunction is the most potent weapon of the employing class to uphold their right to exploit the workers without hindrance from union activity. In addition to enjoying a strange regard for the sacredness of the courts, the latter have an effective way of making their orders stick. The courts occupy a super-sphere and are always responsive to the call of property interests. They have assumed the vital function of guardian of employing interests during their conflicts with labor.

THE injunction more than any other factor has served to make the strike unpopular with labor organizations and has thereby destroyed the independence and militancy of the workers. The Daugherty-Wilkinson injunction in the railroad shopmen's strike is looked upon as the fatal blow to the workers' side of the contest. The same thing was true in the historic A. R. U. strike of 1894, when Judge Grosup sent Debs and his executive board to jail and broke the strike.

The steady extension of court interference in labor disputes has reached a point of development at which a system of prescribed regulation has been developed. Just as soon as a strike occurs, the first thing the employer does is to sue out an injunction forbidding almost every move that might in any way contribute to the success of the struggle.

THE first effect is to paralyze the activities of the strikers and gives the employer the opportunity to gain time to secure strike-breakers. The repeated experiences of labor unions in this process should forearm them to be prepared to meet the injunction proceedings more than half way. It should be a part of the strategy of the unions to anticipate the court moves of the employers, and force immediate action. Time is one of the most vital elements in the success of a strike.

Just what to do in every case is difficult to prescribe. Sometimes it is even necessary to disregard the orders of the courts when the injunction is clearly a violation of the workers' rights, and there is no appeal from the court's orders. However this should be done only in extraordinary cases. The late President Gompers of the American Federation of Labor with John Schel disregarded the orders of the court in the Buck stove case, but were never sent to jail. This happy outcome would not likely in the case of a striker.

Until labor has judges sympathetic with the interests of the workers, the courts will be used by the employers as weapons of defense and offense.

J. L. Davidson, Organizer of the Chicago Joint Board of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union.

I am gladly accepting your invitation to give you my opinion on the injunction menace to be printed in the special edition of the anti-injunction issue of THE DAILY WORKER.

For the labor movement in Chicago the fight against the injunction evil is a fight for the existence of the trade union movement. In the past few years the so-called house of justice has, under the famous equity law, practically broken every strike thru the injunctions against organized labor. This resulted in almost every instance in the breaking of the union, as everyone knows that after a lost strike the union is so weakened it can hardly exist.

I feel that only thru the united struggle of the workers, po-

Leaders of the New York Subway Strike



Strike leaders discussing tactics to establish a genuine union to dispose the I. R. T. company union. Left to right, Harry Bark, Edward P. Lavin and Joseph Phelan.

litical and industrially, can we accomplish this act. It is high time that organized labor met in an anti-injunction conference and laid plans to get rid of this evil. It is high time that labor gets together on the political field and puts forward a United Labor ticket against the capitalist politicians. Only by these methods can we hope to accomplish anything.

Robert W. Dunn, Civil Liberties Union.

TO fight the strike-breaking injunction powers now vested in the courts certain lines of activity are open to organized labor:

1. To exercise labor's constitutional liberties of free speech, press and assembly even though this involves the open violation of a court's picket-killing edict. This will, as in the case of the Chicago I. L. G. U. workers, often mean filling the jails with labor's best elements. This dramatic type of protest is necessary.

2. To bring impeachment proceedings, where possible, against the injunction-issuing judges; and to fight for anti-injunction legislation in the senate and house of representatives of the United States, as well as in the state legislatures.

3. To organize the unorganized. The stronger labor is unionized the more influential its voice in determining the policy of courts, legislatures and judges.

4. In this connection to fight particularly the company union and the yellow dog contract. It must not be forgotten that suppressive injunctions are often based upon the treacherous anti-labor operations of the boss-controlled company unions. For example the pending injunction of the Forstman and Hoffmann Co. in Passaic, N. J. is grounded upon the inspired request for protection to scabs issued by a few company suckers connected with the company union.

5. To organize labor politically. A real labor party is the surest way to strike at the injunction weapon, now so menacing in the hands of the employers and their legal agents on the bench. Only a Labor Party can deal an effective blow at injunctions and the Tsardom of the courts.

By Carl Haessler, Managing Editor, The Federated Press.

INJUNCTIONS are an excellent weapon for the class that manages to control the courts. In America the employers control the courts and the workers suffer from injunctions. Some day the workers will control the courts and the employers will suffer from injunctions. And on some still later day the employers may perhaps be permanently enjoined from practicing their profession. Injunctions are all right.

J. F. Emme, Associated Textiles (Co-operative), St. Paul

MOST of our common law is an heirloom handed down to us from England, therefore finds itself in an ever-increasing conflict with modern industrialism and all progressive social ideas.

The growing evil of the injunction must be met and this can only be done by the workers repudiating and making unpopular the issuance of injunctions in labor cases. The process must be just the opposite from what was used in advocating and making possible labor legislation under the caption of the police power of the state. Nothing will be more effective in destroying the injunction than mass repudiation and violation of the judge's edict when injunctions are issued.

Anna E. David, Secretary-Organizer Local No. 52, Millinery Workers' Union.

Labor must fight the injunction—the bosses' weapon to break strikes, by ignoring them, as the ladies' garment workers did, and the whole labor movement should rally to the support of those who have the courage to defy the injunction method of enslaving the workers.

V. F. Calverton, Editor, The Modern Quarterly—Author of "The Newer Spirit."

THERE are several ways of fighting the Injunction Menace, but only one way in which the fight can be maneuvered with success. To appeal to the pseudo-instincts of fair play and dec-

WIDE USE OF INJUNCTIONS AS ANTI-LABOR WEAPON BEGAN WITH RISE OF TRUSTS

By WILLIAM F. DUNNE.

THE first injunction in the United States restraining workers was issued in Massachusetts in 1888—by a state court.

In rendering its decision the court took as a precedent the English case of "The Springfield Spinning Company versus Riley," in which the company, in 1867, had secured from Vice-Chancellor Maitland an injunction restraining its striking employees from issuing placards and advertisements warning other workers that a strike was in progress.

SIX years later this decision was reversed by the highest British authority—the court of chancery. The lord chancellors ruled as follows:

The court of chancery had no jurisdiction to restrain the publication of a libel as such, even if it should be injurious to property.

The Massachusetts decision, now a precedent for all injunction proceedings, was therefore based on a legal fallacy—an English precedent which had been thrown out of court fourteen years before the Massachusetts court based its ruling upon it.

THE respect for "English common law" on the part of American courts is a myth and nothing more. Precedents established in English law are good or bad as they serve or hinder the aims of the American capitalists.

It was not long after the Massachusetts decision until the capitalists generally began to recognize the value of injunctions as weapon against the workers.

The federal courts began to be used and the spread of the injunction menace coincides with the tremendous trustsification of industry which began in the late 80's and continued thru the 90's to the present day.

IN 1891 an injunction was issued by the United States circuit court of the District of Columbia restraining Typographical Union No. 3 of Cincinnati from boycotting the "Commonwealth," a newspaper in Covington, Kentucky.

Then came the famous, or rather infamous, injunction issued in behalf of the mine owners of Idaho against the strike of the Western Federation of Miners in the Coeur D'Alene mining district in 1892. This was the first appearance of the injunction in a mass struggle of workers against a really powerful capitalist group.

NEXT in order was the injunction issued on March 11, 1893, against the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers by William H. Taft, judge of the U. S. circuit court of the Southern Ohio district.

This injunction, from which Taft derived his nickname of "Injunction Bill," prohibited the officers and members of the Brotherhood from issuing any instructions not to handle, receive or deliver cars to and from the Toledo and Ann Arbor Railroad Company.

In 1893 another injunction was issued against the Brotherhood of Rail-

men for switchmen and 75 cents an hour for switchmen.

Strikebreakers are receiving \$1 an hour, plus board, lodging, and special police protection. When the scabs were photographed by newspaper cameras men in the big I. R. T. barracks, all of them turned their faces away or hid behind hats—with the single exception of Alexander Farlinger, the ex-science railway man. He regarded the job as a lark and posed for the photographers.

Submit Names.

The strikers submitted, with an offer to arbitrate, the names of three prominent clergymen from whom a mediator might be chosen. The clergymen suggested were William Montgomery Brown, recently deposed as a bishop by the Protestant Episcopal church; Rev. S. Parks Cadman, president of the Federal Council of Churches; and Father Francis P. Duffy, chaplain of the 69th Infantry. But the company refused to arbitrate.

Edward P. Lavin, Harry Bark, and J. G. Phelan are leading the strikers who have broken away from the company "brotherhood" and who have formed a new union known as the Consolidated Railroad Workers.

ency may satisfy the sentimentalists and soothe the utopian, but it can only irritate the Marxiast. Deriving its inspiration from the anti-combination acts of the nineteenth century, the injunction is but another gesture of capitalist-justice. As long as military power, legal control and political jurisdiction rest in the hands of a class dedicated to individualism and devoted to private property, all attempts to obtain advantage, disperse injustice and equalize opportunity are futile and absurd. The Injunction Menace is but part of the Capitalist Menace.

To fight the Injunction Menace successfully is to fight the Capitalist Menace successfully. The secret of success in this fight is the economic class-conscious organization of the proletariat.

THE constitution of the United States, created by the American bourgeoisie, is built upon the private-property conception. Its laws are devised to defend private-property possessions. The injunction is a defense of the rights of private-property. The capitalist judiciary is an exponent of private-property justice. Any attempt, therefore, to fight the injunction in the courts is as hopeless as trying to stem a sea.

With the revolutionary organization of the workers, on the other hand, the capitalist system is driven more and more to the defensive. The workers did gain shorter hours and better wages as the result of their economic organization. They did win the right to collective bargaining only to find the injunction introduced as a stab at this privilege. If one kind of injunction fails, another will be formed—to fight for the removal of one kind of injunction is but to fight a futile battle. To fight for an entire revolution in economic life is the only successful fight against the Injunction Menace.

way Trainmen in behalf of the Northern Pacific Railway enjoining it and its members.

From combining, or conspiring together, or with others . . . with the design, or purpose of causing a strike . . . and from ordering, recommending or approving, or advising others to quit the service of the receivers of the Northern Pacific Railway on January 1, 1894, or at any other time.

THIS injunction was followed by another in 1894 which ordered the trainmen to

Refrain and desist from ever combining, federating, or conspiring together with others . . . with the design, or for the purpose of inducing, or causing a strike by any of the employees of said receivers.

The permanent injunction thus came to be an established part of American judicial procedure.

It would seem that no further improvements could be made in a legal mandate which prohibits workers forever from organizing or striking, or both, but the attorneys for the capitalists, enamored of the broad vista of restriction which the injunction opened before them, discovered that its possibilities had not as yet been exploited fully.

SO we find in the injunction issued for the Thomas G. Plant Shoe Company of Boston against the members of the United Shoe Workers of America, a clause prohibiting the union.

From paying strike benefits to any of the former employees of plaintiff now on strike.

Then, in 1920, an Ohio judge restrained striking molders

From publishing, advertising, or circulating any statement, or declaration that there is a strike on, or pending at the plant of the plaintiff company, or that there is a trade dispute between the plaintiff and the defendant.

BUT the bosses were not yet satisfied that every loophole thru which the discontent of workers could express itself had been closed and the lawyer tribe was called into consultation once more. The result of their efforts was the injunction issued against the Minneapolis Trades and Labor Assembly in the Wonderland Theater case.

This masterpiece of master class legal trickery leaves nothing for striking workers to do but surrender—unless they are ready and willing to throw "legality" to the winds and mobilize their full mass strength against these suppressive measures.

THE Wonderland injunction prohibited members of the Minneapolis trade union movement

From carrying, or in any manner, or in any wise, exhibiting any banner, sign, reading, printing, dodger, card, or any notice of any character having upon the same any statement that THE PLAINTIFF IS UNFAIR TO SAID DEFENDANT.

From conveying, directly or indirectly . . . by means of any act, or statement, or printing of any kind, in the Minneapolis Labor Review or any other publication of said defendants . . . ANY STATEMENT OF THE FACTS, OR FROM WHICH SUCH FACT COULD BE REASONABLY INFERRED, that the plaintiff and his said theater . . . was, OR IS UNFAIR TO ORGANIZED LABOR.

WORKERS organize unions in order to use their mass strength to wrest higher wages, better working conditions, control of the job and a higher standard of living generally, from the capitalists who will surrender nothing that the workers have not the power to take.

Injunctions such as we have quoted make illegal union organizations, strikes, picketing, publicity for a strike, payment of strike benefits, collections for strike relief, etc.—if the unions obey the injunctions they cease to be unions.

FROM the cases cited to the injunctions outlawing the strikes of hundreds of thousands of workers like the Anderson decree against the United Mine Workers in 1919 and the Wilkerson injunction against the Federation of Railway Shop Crafts in 1922, has been but step for the powerful, centralized and brutal capitalism of America thru its agency, the United States government.

Whether they wish it or not the unions, thru the use of the injunction in the interest of the capitalists, are brought into conflict with the government.

The existence of the injunction process and its use against the workers shows that the government is not a workers' government.

IN all its branches—city, county, state, and national—it sides with the capitalists. In the use of injunctions against workers—making a jury trial impossible—this is particularly obvious.

Mass resistance to injunctions—strikes in spite of them, demonstrations, defense of injunction prisoners and relief for their families, protest sympathetic strikes, building of powerful unions by the inclusion of the workers at present unorganized, separation from the parties of the bosses, a fighting party based on the trade unions—only by these methods can the injunction, a peculiarly American form of suppression, be defeated.

SPEND THE HOT SUMMER DAY AT

FLAXMAN'S FARM!

Come to the

PICNIC

on

SUNDAY, JULY 25

arranged by Karl Liebknecht Branch No. 153 W. C., and Fruit & Grocery Clerks' Union.

DIRECTIONS: Take car 50 on 5th St. Stop at Church Lane and walk two blocks to the right.

THE DAILY WORKER

Published by the DAILY WORKER PUBLISHING CO.
1113 W. Washington Blvd., Chicago, Ill.

Phone Monroe 4712

SUBSCRIPTION RATES		
By mail (in Chicago only):	By mail (outside of Chicago):	
\$8.00 per year	\$4.50 six months	\$6.00 per year
\$2.50 three months	\$2.00 three months	\$3.50 six months

Address all mail and make out checks to
THE DAILY WORKER, 1113 W. Washington Blvd., Chicago, Illinois

J. LOUIS ENGDALH Editors
WILLIAM F. DUNNE
MORITZ J. LOEB Business Manager

Entered as second-class mail September 21, 1923, at the post-office at Chicago, Ill., under the act of March 3, 1879.

Advertising rates on application.

The Injunction and the Labor Party

By C. E. RUTHENBERG, General Secretary
Workers (Communist) Party.

THE use of the injunction in strikes against the workers is the best proof of the class character of our government.

The injunction also gives the lie to the shouters who praise our "democratic institutions."

In the railroad shopmen's strike of 1922, the government secured the infamous Daugherty injunction which violated every right supposed to be guaranteed to the railroad shopmen, among others, by the American constitution. The Daugherty injunction denied the right of freedom of speech, freedom of press, freedom to assembly. It denied the right to the railroad shopmen to use the money of their own organization. And all this new law for the railroad shopmen was made by decision of one man.

In 1919, the Wilson administration secured an injunction from Judge Anderson at Indianapolis against the United Mine Workers of America thru which the government had the case been pressed to a final issue, could have completely destroyed this labor union.

The imprisonment of the Chicago Garment Workers for violation of the injunction of Judge Sullivan was the latest expression of an arbitrary power which is as great as any king or kaiser wielded during the absolute rule of the past.

IT is labor that suffers from this arbitrary use of the power of the government. It is labor which in a thousand strikes has felt the weight of the blow of injunctions. The Chicago Garment Workers are the latest of thousands of workers who have gone to prison for contempt of court because they violated the one-man rule of an injunction judge.

The injunction must be fought thru the method of defiance of the injunction judge as was done by the Chicago workers. But sending strikers to jail for contempt of court is not the best method of fighting the injunction nor does it bring to bear labor's greatest strength.

THE use of the injunction as a means of strikebreaking is a clarion call to the American workers to organize their political power on a class basis and to fight against the capitalist class and the capitalist parties for control of the political power. So long as the workers leave unchallenged the absolute rule of the capitalists politically, leaving the government in their hands, it must expect that that government will deal blows in support of the capitalists and against the workers, by enjoining them in when they dare strike and putting them into prison if they dare violate the injunctions.

John Werlik

Business Agent Metal Polishers' Union, Local 6. The injunction is the most paralyzing influence ever brought to bear in a strike situation.

It has no basis in ordinary legal procedure. Legal rights and constitutional guarantees are wiped out with the judges' stroke of a pen.

As practiced by the notorious Judge Denis E. Sullivan no conclusive evidence of guilt is necessary in order that an injunction be issued against a labor union.

The most palpable frame-up by employers' agents is sufficient as evidence for the issuance of an injunction against a labor union.

The problem of overcoming this vital difficulty by labor I suggest the election of labor's own candidates for judges.

Huge mass strikes would also overcome injunctions, inasmuch as the capacity of our jails would not very materially affect the union picket lines.

J. B. Myers

Member of Local No. 478, International Association of Machinists.

Injunctions are tools of the employers and are used against labor when it goes on strike for an improvement of their conditions. In 1922 the railway shopmen learned their lesson. They learned to recognize what an injunction meant. That injunction was one of the causes for the ure of that strike.

The only remedy for labor is to form a political party of its own and see to it that these injunction judges are defeated.

Open-Shopper Jails Mother



Mrs. Eleanor Sadlowski was sentenced to 60 days in Cook County jail by injunction Judge Denis E. Sullivan

THE CASE OF GEORGE PAPCUN

By WILLIAM F. DUNNE.

THE title of this article is misleading.

The case is not the case of George Papcun at all, but the case of the coal miners, the steel workers and their families against the Mellon-Morgan-Gary steel, coal and Wall Street government of Pennsylvania.

The title is misleading because even working-class journalists find it hard to overcome the individualistic traditions of the United States sufficiently to speak always in terms of economic forces and political movements rather than in terms of individuals.

IN Pennsylvania the case of George Papcun has another name—a title which is part of the official records of the state government. It is:

"The People of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania versus George Papcun."

The worst thing I know about George Papcun is that he chews tobacco because he thinks it emphasizes his working-class character.

The best thing I know about him is that he is an energetic and fearless young worker who has an intelligent hatred for capitalism and all its works.

He is intelligent enough to be a member of the Workers (Communist) Party of America.

THIS, and the fact that he tried and

succeeded in organizing coal miners in western Pennsylvania in the face of opposition from the coal operators, the steel trust and certain miners' union officials who are altogether too friendly with the bosses, is the reason why his name and a long list of alleged violations of the anti-sedition law of Pennsylvania, are in the official records together with the evidence against him and the fact of his conviction on six counts of the original indictment.

Reading over the above sentence I find that it, too, is misleading. It is my opinion that had George Papcun continued the even tenor of his way active member of the Workers (Com-

unist) Party, had he not tried to organize miners, had he not exposed some of the more flagrant violations of the very elastic code of Pennsylvania trade union ethics on the part of "labor leaders," he would have not been arrested, tried and convicted.

EVEN in Pennsylvania chewing tobacco is not considered a violation of the criminal syndicalism law.

But agitation and organization among the workers in heavy industry is.

Very decidedly so.

The steel trust is prosecuting George Papcun in the name of "the people of Pennsylvania." There is nothing surprising in this to anyone who has followed the history of government in America, but it serves to confuse the issue and that is what the United States Steel corporation desires.

Of course, "the people of Pennsylvania" have nothing against George Papcun. But their rulers have, so the rulers attempt to identify themselves with the interests of the miners and steel workers and prove that George Papcun is "an enemy of society" in Pennsylvania.

WHAT they have proved is that George Papcun is an enemy of the form of society which the steel trust and Wall Street organize and dominates. The real job of the International Labor Defense is to show to the workers and other decent people in Pennsylvania that George Papcun ought to be kept out of jail to keep on fighting for the workers and against the steel trust. Its \$5,000,000 election slush funds, its "company towns" and "company unions," its "company officials"—state, county and city—and its company agents in the labor movement.

THIS list of witnesses and the evi-

dence in this case furnish a striking study in steel trust stooliegonism. It shows that the steel trust and its hundred agencies, Mellonism, Varelim and Pinchotism, are debauching deliberately whole sections of the population of Pennsylvania, that few organizations remain free from their control, whether they be of a political, cultural or trade union character.

The record of the court proceedings

for one day alone is enough to show the truth of the above statement. FIRST there appears one Corporal F. Miller, a member of the state constabulary, the familiar Pennsylvania "cossacks," fought by the trade union movement for years, but which the "friend of labor" and ardent proletarian, Governor Pinchot, has allowed to exist under his regime.

His, and other testimony, shows that the state police were watching closely the coal miners' strike in Republic, where Papcun was active.

At the time of Papcun's arrest, the cossack corporal gave him a list of questions to which Papcun was ordered to give written answers. Papcun refused, so the oblique corporal lied in the answers himself.

THEN comes William Nelson, an employee of the Hillman Coal Co., who lived in the Croatian Hall, the headquarters of the strike committee, for four months. Why an English speaking person should want to live for four months in a hall where conversations, as a general rule, are carried on in the Slav languages, is a mystery—unless he had instructions to do so from some one connected with the "company."

TERRACE, in his capacity of stooliegon, engineered his election as chairman of the strike committee, of which Papcun was a member. He was also one of a committee of three to confer with the district officials of the United Mine Workers as to the possibility of getting a charter in Republic.

The judge was right.

Papcun was convicted with the defense sent out a story claiming that the ruling out of the above evidence was victory for it.

These legal victories doubtless give lawyers a great deal of satisfaction, but the case of "The People of Pennsylvania versus George Papcun" will not be fought out in the Pennsylvania courts or the United States courts. The courts will reflect the political struggle now centering around the question of trade union organization.

IT will be fought out in the mines and mills of Pennsylvania by workers who know that the real name of the case is the "Steel Trust of Pennsylvania versus the People of Pennsylvania."

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

When the Papcuns outnumber the paid spies of the steel trust, Pennsylvania will have a real labor movement.

TERRACE steps down after telling some very spirited but sound advice given to the strikers by Papcun relative to the manner of handling deputy sheriffs who tried to stop legal picketing, and which Papcun may or may not have worded as stated, and his seat is taken by Harry J. Lehnon, a department of justice clerk in Allegheny county.

LENNON is not asked how much he got out of the campaign for liberty and democracy waged by the

workers.

TERRACE steps down after telling some very spirited but sound advice given to the strikers by Papcun relative to the manner of handling deputy sheriffs who tried to stop legal picketing, and which Papcun may or may not have worded as stated, and his seat is taken by Harry J. Lehnon, a department of justice clerk in Allegheny county.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

When the Papcuns outnumber the paid spies of the steel trust, Pennsylvania will have a real labor movement.

TERRACE steps down after telling some very spirited but sound advice given to the strikers by Papcun relative to the manner of handling deputy sheriffs who tried to stop legal picketing, and which Papcun may or may not have worded as stated, and his seat is taken by Harry J. Lehnon, a department of justice clerk in Allegheny county.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.

IT seems to me that the Pennsylvania rulers have picked a poor issue. It is so clear that workers can understand it easily when it is brought to their attention. In the course of the struggle going on in Pennsylvania there will be developed many more George Papcuns.